

## REMARKS

Applicant provisionally elects to proceed with Species L, Figure 16. As required by the Examiner, Applicant's election of Species L, Figure 6, includes the following claims readable thereon: Claims 7-15.

New Claims 8-15 are added to clarify Species L of the present invention and do not include new matter.

The Examiner rejected Claims 1-7 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1, 2 and 7 have been amended to complete the sentence and claim 6 is canceled. Therefore, all rejections are now believed to be moot.

In view of the above, it is submitted that Species L, Claims 7-15 be prosecuted.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Gloria Tsui-Yip  
Attorney for Applicant  
Reg. No. 42,188  
STOLL, MISKIN & BADIE  
350 Fifth Avenue  
Suite 4710  
New York, NY 10118  
(212) 268-0900